National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska

Public Hearings

Fairbanks

1998

2
_

NATIONAL PETROLEUM RESERVE - ALASKA

FAIRBANKS HEARING

Carlson Center Conference Room 2010 Second Avenue Fairbanks, Alaska

January 22, 1998, 3:00 & 7:00 p.m.

COMPUTER MATRIX

	PUBLIC	TESTIMONY
--	--------	-----------

1

2	AFTERNOON HEARING	
. 3	Mr. Sean McGuire	07
4	Commissioner Marty Rutherford	09
5	Mr. Andy Keller	13
6	Mr. Dave Klein	19
7	Ms. Sara Callaghan	22
8	Ms. Tonya Schlentner	27
9	Mr. Jeff Cook	29
10	Mr. Daniel Simien	33
11	Mr. Jim Plaquet	35
12	Mr. Mike Joyce	37
13	Ms. Linda Benson	42
14	Mr. James Young	4.
15	Mr. Richard Chapell	44
16	Mr. Howard Thies	45
17	Mr. Richard Gaul	48
18	Mr. Steve Fortelny	49
19	Mr. Steve Springer	51
20	Mr. Roger Burggraf	53
21	Mr. Fred Heflinger	54
22	Mr. Dave DuHart	56
23	Mr. Dave Miller	59
24	Mr. C.W. Mahlen	59
25		

COMPUTER MATRIX

PUBLIC TESTIMONY (CONTINUED)

2		EVENING SESSION
3	Mr. Joe Thomas	64
4	Mr. Jon Miller	67
5	Mr. Robert Fox	71
6	Mr. David van den Berg	73
7	Mr. Roger Siglin	79
8	Mr. Mike Taylor	81
9	Ms. Cathy Merritt	83
10	Mr. Joseph Rueter	84
11	Ms. Karen Brewster	86
12	Ms. Kerynn Fisher	88
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19.		
20		
21		
22		
23	•	
24		
25		

COMPUTER MATRIX

3520 Knik Avenue Anchorage, Alaska 99517 Phone/Fax (907) 243-0668 e-mail jpk@alaska.net

PROCEEDINGS

(On record - 3:00 p.m.)

MS. FOX: Thank you. I would like to call the hearing to order. It's now 3:00 o'clock, the appointed time to start and I would like to try to keep things on time today. If you desire to speak and you have not yet signed up to speak, please do so now by completing a request form such as this at the front table. My name is Peggy Fox and I'm the hearing officer conducting this hearing this afternoon. Here with me on my right is Dee Ritchie. He is the BLM District Manager for the Northern District. And to my far right is the court reporter Shirley Cohen, and she will record all the comments today verbatim.

The purpose of the hearing is to formally receive comments on the Bureau of Land Management's Draft Integrated Activity Plan/Environmental Impact Statement for the Northeast Portion of the National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska and the ANILCA Section 810, (Subsistence) Evaluation and Finding. This hearing is being held pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA, and the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, or ANILCA. It satisfies NEPA's requirement that BLM take public comments on major federal actions and ANILCA's requirement that we conduct hearings on possible actions which may impact subsistence resources or activities.

Your comments tonight will serve several purposes.

COMPUTER MATRIX

Your comments on the ANILCA Section 810 subsistence evaluation can tell us whether we have correctly identified and assessed the effects of the various alternatives in the Draft EIS on subsistence uses and needs. Your comments can tell us whether or not other lands are available for the management schemes proposed and you can suggest other alternatives which would reduce or eliminate effects on public lands needed for subsistence purposes. You can also tell us if the proposed findings in the Draft EIS are accurate and whether we have left anything out of our subsistence evaluation.

You can point out information about the resources or uses of the planning area which our draft document may have overlooked or not analyzed correctly; we want to be sure to have all the relevant information before we make a decision. Also, you can provide us a sense of what the public wants to occur on these lands. Decision-makers want to know where the public stands on the issues involved in the future management of this part of the NPR-A.

The Draft EIS was placed on the Internet on November 24th and paper copies were released to the public the first week of December. Several public information meetings were held in Alaska during December to answer questions about the document and to promote dissemination of the Draft EIS.

This hearing is one of a series being conducted in the Alaskan communities of Anaktuvuk Pass, Atqasuk, Barrow,

COMPUTER MATRIX

Nuiqsut, Wainwright, Anchorage and Fairbanks here today.

Hearings in Washington, D.C. and San Francisco, California are also being held to ensure a full opportunity for the public to participate.

1.0

1.7

In addition to these hearings, comments on the Draft EIS have been accepted by the Bureau since December 12th, 1997, the start of the official comment period and will continue to be accepted if postmarked by March 12th, 1998. And please note that this is an extended comment period. Comments may be provided orally at these hearings, in writing via the Internet, by facsimile, or by regular mail. Informational materials with phone numbers, and addresses, including the Internet address, as well as blank forms for comments are provided on the table at the back of the hearing room.

All comments provided will be compiled, analyzed and considered by the Bureau in preparing the Final Environmental Impact Statement, due to be released in late June 1998.

The way we will proceed is I will call the names of those who have indicated they wish to make oral comments to come up to the microphone. You will then state your name, state the organization you represent, if any, and then give your comments. If you have written comments, I will ask you to provide them to the person at the table by the front door and they will be included as part of the record of this hearing.

I see by the request forms that we have about 17 people

COMPUTER MATRIX

signed up so far to speak. Based on that and our time limits, I will ask each speaker to confine him or herself to five minutes. If you reach the time limit, I will ask you for a summary of your comments and then request that you step down to let others speak. When we complete the list of people wishing to speak and if time allows, I may offer others the opportunity to speak.

I and the BLM personnel here today are here to listen. We are not here to answer questions. However, individuals are available following the hearing and this evening at the open house should you need to have some questions answered at that time. I would request that the audience be considerate of the speaker and give him or her the courtesy of your attention. We will begin now with the first person who requested to speak, and that is -- I believe it's Mr. Sean McGuire.

MR. McGUIRE: Thank you for the opportunity to speak here today. My name is Sean McGuire. I -- my address is 351 Cloudberry Drive, Fairbanks, Alaska, 99709. I guess the first impression I have of this whole National Petroleum Reserve is I really -- I don't understand why this thing's being pushed -- it seems -- it appears that it's being pushed very quickly. And if you look out there right now, the world is awash in oil. Oil prices are literally on an all-time one of the all-time lows. It's just about ready to go below \$14.00 here. I just don't see -- I mean this is the National Petroleum Reserve. It

COMPUTER MATRIX

was set aside for the nation in a -- you know, basically in a time of need, as it was a conservation measure. And I just find it a little odd that at this point there seems to be such a great need to get in there. It strikes me that that thing is being pushed. I don't think the American people are -- would feel that this is a time of great need that this thing needs to be opened up. I would hope that this whole process maybe is slowed down a little bit.

I talked to a lot of people and there's a feeling out there that some kind of deal has been made. No one knows exactly what it is, but just the appearance of this thing on a fast track with the global oil situation, it doesn't add up.

And then I guess secondly, if this area is to be opened up to drilling, I would hope that the special places in the National Petroleum Reserve, areas that are really unique like Teshekpuk Lake and the Colville River, it would just would seem -- I would be very disappointed if drilling was allowed in those areas. It just doesn't seem necessary, especially now with the technology that is out there. It doesn't seem that there would need to drill right in these environmentally sensitive areas. So I hope that some of these areas can be protected and put into some very strong protective status.

Finally, I guess I'll close by saying when you look out worldwide and you look at a nation, the nations that take care of their natural wonders are considered to be very civilized

COMPUTER MATRIX

nations. Nations that destroy their natural habitat are considered to be very uncivilized and very backward. I think if you look historically at this country, I don't think there is one area in the entire United States that's been set aside for its natural values, either in parks, reserves, for recreation, hunting, fishing, trapping that people look back and say, man, I wish we would would've opened that up. I wish they'd of -- I wish they'd have developed that.

I think it's really crucial that we take the long view with these special areas within the Petroleum Reserve if it is to be opened. I hope there is consideration for these special environmental areas. So thank you.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. McGuire. Next I have Marty Rutherford.

COMMISSIONER RUTHERFORD: Good afternoon. On behalf of Governor Tony Knowles, I appreciate this opportunity to present the State of Alaska's views on the National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska Draft Plan and Environmental Impact Statement. I am Marty Rutherford, Deputy Commissioner of the Alaska Department of Natural Resources.

The Knowles Administration strongly believes that the oil beneath the National Petroleum Reserve can be developed in a responsible way that not only provides jobs and fuel for Alaskan families, but also protects the environment and the wildlife that depends upon it. The State of Alaska is

COMPUTER MATRIX

committed to the protection of fish, wildlife, and subsistence values in the Teshekpuk Lake and Colville River corridor. State believes that any lease sale in the NPR-A should be designed to protect the particular important fish and wildlife resources upon which local communities depend for their traditional subsistence needs. The State will be making recommendations to the Secretary of the Interior on how this can be accomplished through the EIS and lease sale processes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

In developing these recommendations, the State is working closely with the North Slope Borough and the Bureau of Land Management. Governor Knowles has adopted a policy of Doing it Right, which holds that resource development must be done right or not at all. Doing it Right is a comprehensive approach to managing our resources so they can be used, enjoyed, and sustained for present and future generations. means partnering with and challenging industry, the impacted communities and citizens as stewards to ensure protection of our air, water, wildlife, and habitat. It's based on three principles; sound science, prudent management, and responsive and meaningful public involvement.

Sound science is using the latest and best scientific information about the resources, including the best technologies and local knowledge.

Prudent management means focusing on conservation and 25 | sustainability, utilizing Alaska contractors and workers, and

COMPUTER MATRIX

utilizing field and operations monitoring to assure quality information and management.

Responsive meaningful public involvement includes involving the stakeholders, the affected communities and citizens in an ongoing public review.

For development to take place, the Knowles

Administration believes it must follow these principles. Based on the State's understanding of the planning area and our participation in the development of the NPR-A Plan and Environmental Impact Statement, we have concluded that the opportunity does exist to protect the outstanding wildlife and habitat in the area while exploring and extracting the vital energy resources that we believe exist there.

On the North Slope, the State has used the Doing it Right motto for oil and gas development with great success. In the 1980s there were four lease sales held within the NPR-A, noting I might add about -- we netted about over \$70,000,000 and resulting in over 115 wells being drilled. Since that time great technical strides have been made. The Alpine and Badami projects which are currently under way illustrate the advancements that have significantly reduced the environmental impact of oil exploration and development through much smaller production footprints on the landscape.

The State has a proven and successful track record of minimizing and mitigating environmental impacts related to the

COMPUTER MATRIX

development of our energy resources. As many of you know, these management techniques include directional or also called extended reach drilling of up to four miles, re-injection of drilling wastes, limited -- limiting explorations to the winter months, maximizing the use of ice roads, identifying, protecting and monitoring the important habitat areas and wildlife migration corridors, reducing the size of the drilling pads, and improving our drilling efficiency through advanced seismic data. I might note that Governor Knowles and Secretary Babbitt had an opportunity to observe, and they commented favorably about many of these management techniques during their trip to the North Slope this last summer.

An integral part of the State's management philosophy derives from our abiding respect for the land, the wildlife, and the people who rely upon them. Alaskans have a rich history of being good stewards of our land and resources. In Alaska's oil fields, industry is not only required to use the best available technology, but must also comply with very rigorous lease stipulations and protections.

Oil and gas production conducted with care for the environment and wildlife in the NPR-A can yield great benefits for Alaskans. New good paying, family supporting jobs are important to Alaska's families and the communities they live in. Leasing in the NPR-A will provide those jobs in Alaska,

COMPUTER MATRIX

and the Knowles Administration is committing -- committed to making sure that Alaskans are hired for these jobs.

23 |

The Administration is also committed to no decline after 1999 to the flow through the Trans-Alaska Pipeline, which ensures a stable and consistent economy. And leasing in the NPR-A will help to maintain that oil flow. New oil production in the NPR-A will also provide the State with millions of dollars of new revenues. That means for the Alaska Permanent Fund, which means healthy dividends in the future. And it also means funding for critical state services like education, public safety and road maintenance.

The Knowles Administration is confident that we can identify areas within the NPR-A for oil and gas leasing while ensuring protection of critical fish, wildlife, and subsistence use areas. The State appreciates the Bureau of Land Management's efforts to establish appropriate land management for the Northeast Portion of the National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska. And I thank you for the opportunity to provide comments today on behalf of the Knowles administration.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Ms. Rutherford. Next I have Andy Keller.

MR. KELLER: My name is Andy Keller. I currently live in Haines, Alaska. My boyhood years were spent in the sloughs, hardwood forests, and the prairies of the great white states. It was here that I marveled at the turtles, snakes, frogs and

COMPUTER MATRIX

other life of the region. While I did play football with the boys in the neighborhood, my most memorable times were exclaiming to my brother, Larry, it's a two-hander. A twohander is a big Wisconsin bullfrog or an Illinois snapping turtle as big as the kitchen sink. Or coming home to my mom after summer camp with a milk carton full of frogs -- frog As a university student, I designed a wilderness studies course. As part of the program, I located natural areas in all parts of the U.S.A. I journeyed across the country and had the opportunity to explore, study, and later work in many of the I have lived and worked in 11 national parks, natural areas. for instance. What I found was that the last fragments of a once vast wilderness heritage were rapidly disappearing. Every day -- even today, millions of acres of wilderness are being destroyed annually. One biological unit in particular, the prairie, was conspicuously absent in the national park and national wilderness preservation systems.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

America is still emerging from its frontier past. In fact, the frontier is so close that we can almost hear the rumble of the wagon trains heading west. Mid-western October skies full of migrating waterfowl trigger memories of a time when the land was full of bison and the expansive prairies extended in all directions. Symbols of the frontier filled the bars, barns, restaurants, and homes of this country. From old wagon wheels in suburban Washington, D.C. yards to plows in the

COMPUTER MATRIX

Holiday Inn in Kearney, Nebraska, the symbols are everywhere. 1 American westerns and the frontier that they represent are a 2 global icon. Almost 10 years ago, a twin Otter carried..... 3 MS. FOX: Mr. Keller? Excuse me. MR. KELLER: 5 MS. FOX: Would you back off the microphone a little 6 7 bit? Is that good? MR. KELLER: 8 MS. FOX: Yeah, that's fine. 9 MR. KELLER: Okay. 10 MS. FOX: Thank you. 11 Thank you. Almost 10 years ago, a twin 12 MR. KELLER: Otter carried me over the crest of the Brooks Range. Under the 13 fresh made snow in the coastal plain of the Arctic National 14 Wildlife Refuge, it looked like my concept of the North Pole. 15 In the months to follow, I would watch this land come alive. 16 17 The huge bison herds of the past have disappeared. But on June 10th, 1988, I watched 100,000 caribou move across the coastal 18 plain. 19 Several years later I was back in Washington working to 20 defeat an energy bill which contained a provision which would 21 mandate oil leasing and drilling in the Arctic National 22 23 Wildlife Refuge coastal plain. A retired Nebraska woman told me how she had read in her mother's journals about the bison 24 25 herds on the prairie grasses. She grew quite emotional as she

COMPUTER MATRIX

described her feelings about the prairie. I was calling to ask her if she would write a letter to her senators. She already had, along with most of the neighborhood, the Sunday evening church woman's coffee cake group and so on. She told me that she was counting on me to represent her in Washington D.C.

Over the years, many people have said things like that to me.

I know that I speak for them.

Now it is 1998. My grandmother, born as the frontier closed in the West, would not believe how things are today. Fires burn across Asian islands as the tropical rain forests are destroyed. There are discussions of climate change, and scientists raise concerns about the health of the oceans. We in this country certainly are not justified in pointing the finger elsewhere. There is plenty of responsibility to be taken here.

So now we debate the future of the largest remaining tract of roadless land left in the United States. The National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska was established 75 years ago to provide for the energy needs of the nation in times of national emergency. What is the national emergency that would warrant industrializing this area in 1998? I do not see it.

In the 1960s and '70s, the oil industry writer proclaimed that energy and national security needs mandate the construction of a pipeline across Alaska. The pipeline was built, and in 1980 Congress passed the Alaska National Interest

COMPUTER MATRIX

Lands Conservation Act, ANILCA. This bill placed over 100 million acres of Alaska into various degrees of protective status. While this bill was a step in the right direction in many respects, it failed to protect the coastal plain in Arctic Alaska, a region similar to our prairies in the American west.

In 1995, with scarcely a stir, Congress lifted the ban on exporting Alaskan oil. This ban had been in place for 22 years. It is simply arrogant to assume that we have the wisdom to leave no decision to those who will follow us. Thanks to some of the people in this room and to many whose lives have passed, this freckled-faced Scotch-Irish boy was able to experience the promise of wilderness in the Arctic Refuge.

While listening to frog calls with a 93-year old naturalist last spring, my senior friend told me how much reduced the frog population is from when he was a boy.

Wilderness is a place to let things change with minimal human interference. I refer to the close of the western frontier of the last century, but changes in the Arctic frontier belong to our time. I made illusion to world events elsewhere, but the Arctic coastal plain is our country. I talked about the future which will rise out of our brief moment of decision.

My request today is that we leave the energy resources in the ground for the next generation. They may decide that they need them. The export ban should be reinstated before anyone is allowed to talk about energy or national security

COMPUTER MATRIX

with a straight face. Since we have already committed millions of acres of North Slope to industrialization, the nation must now commit millions of acres of the North Slope to conservation purposes. Picture a scale with two sides. It is time for the scales to be balanced.

MS. FOX: Mr. Keller, could I ask you to summarize your comments.

MR. KELLER: I am. I will do that now. Thank you.

MS. FOX: Thank you.

MR. KELLER: To balance the scales -- I'm sorry about that.

MS. FOX: That's okay.

MR. KELLER: To balance the scales, I suggest that, one, Congress designate the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge 1002 area as wilderness. Two, that BLM place the 1.7 million acre Teshekpuk Lake and the 2.3 million acre Colville River Special Management Areas in permanent protective status. Both areas should be recommended for addition in the National Wilderness Preservation System. Eventually these and other critical areas should be added to the National Wildlife Refuge System. John Cyberling (ph), a former member of Congress from Ohio, and the Chair of the Interior Subcommittee on Alaska Lands and Oversight during the ANILCA debate recommended a 22.5 million acre Teshekpuk/Utukok National Wildlife Refuge be established within the boundaries of the current Petroleum

COMPUTER MATRIX

Reserve. It is time to re-examine his proposal.

2.1

Thank you for the opportunity to present my testimony today.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Keller. Next is Dave Klein.

MR. KLEIN: My name is Dave Klein. I am retired recently as senior scientist for the Alaska Fish and Wildlife Research Unit. And I am currently married to a professor with the Institute of Arctic Biology in the Department of Biology and Wildlife at the University. But my comments are those of my own -- myself and don't represent those of the University necessarily.

First of all, I appreciate the opportunity to make some comments here. Most of my experience has been in Alaska. My research experience has been with the large hoof mammals, and primarily, I guess, in recent years, with caribou and musk-oxen in Arctic Alaska. The National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska is known among biologists who work throughout the Arctic to be one of the most productive wildlife areas in the Arctic. The Western Arctic herd, for example, it -- the numbering's slightly less than half a million animals, is one of three large herds throughout the whole Arctic that are of that -- of comparable size. One in Northern Quebec and also one in the Timere (ph) region of Siberia. We know about the Teshekpuk Lake herd, which has expanded in numbers recently and is very important for subsistence uses. Bear and brown

COMPUTER MATRIX

grizzlies are known to be in a higher density in the National Petroleum Reserve than areas to the east in North America. Wolverines, which were studied extensively in that area by Dr. Audrey McGown (ph) were shown to be also of a higher density than was expected in the Arctic and one of the highest density areas of wolverines in North America. Now, these are just a few examples of the importance of this region and its productivity. Others could include the moose on the Colville River, peregrine falcons on the Colville River and waterfowl and shore birds and their use in the Teshekpuk Lake area.

2.4

Currently I'm working on a research project with a graduate student of mine to develop a habitat map for musk-oxen in northern Alaska. Now, I'm sure you're aware that musk-oxen were extricated before the turn of the last century and then re-established starting in the 1960s in the Alaskan Arctic. The populations are increasing in number and they're expanding, yet we have no historical record of the kinds of habitats that they occupy throughout that area prior -- when they were there previously. So we've done studies more recently in the eastern part -- northeastern part of the Alaskan Arctic which give us some idea of the kind of habitats musk-oxen are using, but we have no good data to project how they might expand and where they may occur. We know that they have spotty distribution. And this habitat map is using -- we'll develop it using geographical information system data and the computerized maps

COMPUTER MATRIX

that will be generated as a result of it. The funding is coming from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and the Bureau of Land Management. We're collaborating with the National Park Service, the Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Wildlife Management Department of the North Slope Borough. We expect to have this completed, the first draft of this map, by December of this year. This map would be valuable in use in assessing habitats of importance within the National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska for musk-oxen, and is of interest to all of these land management agencies that control that area as well as the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

Ż

25 |

I guess what I want to emphasize, in conclusion, is the complexity of the ecological relationships that exists between wildlife species and the plant and other animals in the region. And an example, of course, is the caribou, the Central Arctic Herd. And it's now 30 years since oil was discovered at Prudhoe Bay. It's only recently in the last few years that we're aware that the caribou in the Central Arctic Herd are suffering from oil development and lower productivity and lower calf production, partly through displacement from their traditional calving areas, but more importantly, limitations on their free movement to insect (sic) relief areas during summertime.

I think it's important that we have better knowledge than currently exists. Even though I think BLM and Fish and

COMPUTER MATRIX

Game Alaska Department of Fish and Game and other agencies
have done some excellent work in providing information for the
EIS, there's still much more that needs to be known before we
can make a good judgement as to how to proceed and what
trade-offs might be involved. I think a good model for this is
the model that Congress developed for the coastal plain of the
Arctic National Wildlife Refuge providing a period of five
years for both environmental studies as well as assessment of
the energy resources, the geophysical studies as well that were
carried out so that the American public and Congress would be
in a position to know what trade-offs might be made if oil was
to be explored for and developed. And I think that kind of
information is needed by the American public. And I don't
think there's an adequate basis at this time to make that kind
of a judgment. And that kind of information, if it's available
and exploration is to proceed, would allow for a much more
sensitive exploration with a much greater, much greater,
reduction in impact on the fish and wildlife resources of the
region.

So I thank you very much for the chance to say a few words.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Klein. Sara Callaghan.

MS. CALLAGHAN: Hi. My name is Sara Callaghan. Thank you for this opportunity to testify. I'm here as a representative for the Northern Alaska Environmental Center.

COMPUTER MATRIX

The Northern Center is a regional conservation organization which is dedicated to the protection of Interior and Arctic Alaskan and represents 1,300 members in Alaska and nationwide.

1.7

2.2

At over 23 million acres, the National Petroleum

Reserve in Alaska's Western Arctic contains the calving grounds

and summer range for the 450,000 member Western Arctic Caribou

Herd and the 25,000 member Teshekpuk herd; high densities of

peregrine falcons, gyrfalcons, and rough-legged hawks, and

wetlands visited by over five million waterfowl and shorebirds.

At least 90 species of birds return to the reserve year after

year to feed, molt, and raise their young.

When the reserve was established in 1923, it was intended to be tapped only at a time of pressing national need. In the next five years, however, BP and ARCO plan to drill a thousand new wells in Alaska, and the State estimates that seven billion more barrels of oil may be pumped from known fields by the year 2015. And while politicians and oil companies argue that the nation needs this oil, millions of barrels are being shipped to Asia. Oil prices have fallen sharply. Automobile fuel efficiency is declining. And the oil industry predicts Central Arctic production to actually increase in the next five years. There is no compelling reason to lease any part of the Western Arctic for oil drilling at any time.

Development has sprawled across two million acres, over

COMPUTER MATRIX

3,000 square miles, in the Central Arctic since oil was discovered at Prudhoe Bay in 1968. This is a far cry from industry's claim that their footprint is minimal. And yet there has never been a cumulative assessment of this disturbance and pollution from this vast industrial complex expanding across America's Arctic. The impact of existing and already planned oil drilling must be assessed before this nation's citizens can adequately consider any new proposals to expand oil drilling east or west into federal lands.

5

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 ¦

24

Of the five alternatives in BLM's Draft EIS, none address the rapid expansion of oil drilling east and west across the Arctic and the danger this poses to wilderness, wildlife and traditional ways of life.

Before more oil drilling can be allowed to sprawl further across the Arctic, Alaskans and citizens across the nation are calling for serious attention to the need for a coherent national energy policy to plan for the needs of current and future generations. There is not time for further delay. Our leaders should advance a national energy policy to address concerns about global climate change and our dependence on non-renewable fossil fuels.

The Draft EIS gives an inadequate picture of Arctic development and downplays existing oil field development in the Arctic. For example, the Draft mentions only 141 miles of existing pipeline when, in fact, there are approximately 1,100

COMPUTER MATRIX

miles of pipeline. This is almost 10 times the published estimate. In addition, currently proposed oil fields development onshore and offshore are not considered in what's called the cumulative case of the EIS. Although the EIS mentions projects such as Badami and Alpine, it does not discuss the probable impacts of Liberty or the Northstar development projects. By ignoring proposed development projects, this EIS continues the incremental approach to development decisions in Alaska's Arctic.

We are concerned that the federal government has not given the public a clear idea which is -- of what's planned for Alaska's Arctic. This Draft EIS fails to put forward a proposed action and instead suggests that new alternatives need to be developed as a consequence of these meetings and the written comments. Thus, due to the fast-track nature of the planning process, the public will never have a opportunity to comment on the agency's actual proposal. We recommend that after the BLM has developed a proposed action, the document should go out for a second public comment period, and that the comments from the second period should guide and figure strongly in the federal government's final decision.

More than half of the written comments BLM received during the scoping phase last spring supported the values of the reserve for wilderness, wildlife population, and traditional ways of life and asked that BLM protect special

COMPUTER MATRIX

areas within the planning area. And yet, the Draft EIS fails to even consider permanent protection for these natural values.

The Northern Center, therefore, is unable to support any of the five development alternatives proposed in the Draft EIS. Instead, we recommend that BLM develop a balanced conservation alternative which includes permanent protection for two critical areas.

The entire 4.6 million acre planning area qualifies for wilderness designation. At the very least, however, the EIS should recommend that Congress grant permanent wilderness protection to the Colville River and Teshekpuk Lake Special Management Area as outlined in the 1977 designation, and that these areas be transferred to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for safekeeping.

In the Draft EIS, pipelines would be allowed to cross the Teshekpuk Lake Special Area in all leasing alternatives, placing this internationally significant natural habitat at great risk. Pipelines bring pollution and disturbance from construction activities and oil spills, both chronic and major. If there were no roads along the pipeline, summer response would be by helicopter, which is extremely disturbing for the sensitive molting geese, and frequent plane flights would be needed for leak surveillance.

The Teshekpuk Lake Special Management Area and the Colville River Special Management Area should be permanently

COMPUTER MATRIX

protected as wilderness and management should be transferred to the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. These areas should be protected from oil leasing and any surface development such as roads, pipelines and gravel mines, which should be prohibited in order to preserve traditional hunting and fishing opportunities as well as critical wildlife habitat.

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Thank you for this opportunity to represent our members at the public forum. We look forward to reviewing the Final EIS in its revised form. And I'll provide a copy of these comments.

Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Callaghan. MS. FOX: Great. Next I have Tonya Schlentner.

MS. SCHLENTNER: I'd like to thank you for this opportunity. And my name is Tonya Schlentner, and I was born and raised here in Alaska. And my favorite way of life was when I got to live a subsistence lifestyle. And you can see I'm very young myself, and I also hope that a subsistence way of life, living with the animals, will also be around for the next generation. And also, my preferred way of travel, which I continue, is dog-mushing, which of course, doesn't need any oil except for, of course, if we take our dogs in the truck.

Anyways, my view is that I don't think any new drilling should be done in the -- in as many areas as possible, and that the area that we've been talking about should be permanently 25 protected, and to please make the National Petroleum Reserve in

COMPUTER MATRIX

Alaska closed to all oil and gas leasing as outlined in the Alternative A, I was able to review. And I'm also taking a Biology course at University of Alaska. And also for years I've been aware that all around the world animals are becoming extinct. And we are worried about the consequences of this, and also of global warming. And even if we save an animal from extinction, that species has lost centuries of what we call bio-diversity. And it'll also take centuries to be a healthy species again and be able to survive small natural crises such as floods and draughts. And the Arctic I see as one of the last totally natural environments left on earth. And I think that in its totally natural state is more valuable than all the money and oil in the world. I think we have done enough research, especially what I've heard in the earlier comments, that show that deep down everyone knows that if open to more oil drilling, the Arctic will inevitably never be the same. And I don't think we can afford to make the same mistakes over and over.

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I hear also about responsible development which I think, of course, should be expected. And I also understand that the people who have lived with the land and animals for centuries never went near the caribou during calving. They were afraid of disturbing the caribou. And so I think that if the presence of people can disturb caribou reproduction, then the presence of modern apparatus must be even more disturbing.

COMPUTER MATRIX

And so I don't think the wild animals can talk any more than my sled dogs can, so I would just like to say, kind of on behalf of them; I know it sounds silly, that if they could speak out they would ask to be able to continue to live undisturbed by modern intrusion.

Thank you for your time.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Ms. Schlentner. Jeff Cook.

MR. COOK: Good afternoon. My name is Jeff Cook. I reside at 458 Terrace Drive in Fairbanks. I was born and raised in Fairbanks. And I currently serve as Vice President of External Affairs for Mapco Alaska Petroleum. Today I am presenting comments on behalf of the Alaska Oil and Gas Association, AOGA. But in addition, the comments that I make are endorsed by me personally and by my company, Mapco Alaska Petroleum.

AOGA is an industry trade association whose 20 members represent about the majority of oil and gas exploration, production, transportation, refining, and marketing activities in the state of Alaska. The National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska Draft Integrated Activity Plan/Environmental Impact Statement supports selection of Alternative E. Alternative E is the only land management regime which is consistent with the standard Congress prescribed for the National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska, and therefore, it's supportable by AOGA.

Congress stipulated that oil exploration and

COMPUTER MATRIX

development would be the dominant use of the Petroleum Reserve and authorized the Department of Interior to mitigate any adverse environmental impacts. AOGA supports allowing access to lands within the National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska which are considered to have the highest potential for oil and gas resources. Public documentation shows that all the North Slope commercial hydrocarbon occurrences exist along the Barrow Arch, a portion of which contains the Prudhoe Bay and Kuparuk River oil fields. Over the last 10 years, 1.5 million barrels of oil have been discovered along the Barrow Arch. The main prospective area within the National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska lies along the south flank of the Barrow Arch within approximately 20 miles of the present coastline.

Despite extensive drilling, no commercial oil or gas accumulations have been found south of this narrow 20 mile coastal strip. Forty-two separate prospects have been drilled to date, and have resulted in six sub-economic gas discoveries, 14 percent of those drilled, one sub-economic oil discovery and zero developments. AOGA supports full leasing in all areas of the Petroleum Reserve.

AOGA believes that the current mitigation measures and stipulations on oil and gas activities included in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement will adequately mitigate potential impacts and will provide full protection of wildlife, sensitive habitat, and subsistence resources of the area.

COMPUTER MATRIX

Potential effects on molting geese will be mitigated by buffers around lakes and seasonal restrictions on aircraft, traffic and construction. Effects on caribou calving will be mitigated by pipeline height, road pipe separation, and seasonal traffic controls. Remote access will be addressed by citing airstrips away from sensitive habitats. Oil and gas leasing exploration activities in the National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska can take place along with traditional subsistence uses of the land.

More than 20 years of oil and gas exploration and production experience on the North Slope has shown that oil and gas activities on state lands east of the Colville River can co-exist with healthy fish and game populations and subsistence activities. Oil and gas leasing exploration activities in the NPR-A can and will be conducted in a manner which will not interfere with subsistence uses in the planning area. AOGA supports reasonable mitigation measures and stipulations which are scientifically based and are supportable by the more than 20 years of experience and research in North Slope oil fields.

There are a number of mitigation measures that can be applied to the National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska exploration and development activities to protect the environment and the wildlife, including seasonal restrictions on activities, buffers around lakes, traffic controls, pipeline heights, road pipe separation and citing of facilities to avoid the most sensitive habitats. However, AOGA believes that some

COMPUTER MATRIX

stipulations including in the -- included in the Draft
Environmental Impact Statement are not justified based on
science and experience. While buffers along high use goose
molting lakes are appropriate, the proposed service facility
distance setbacks for Alternative E are significantly larger
than necessary. Molting goose buffers of 1,640 and 3,280 feet
should be reduced to 1,000 feet as concluded appropriate in the
cooperative monitoring studies of goose and swan disturbances
for the Lisburne and Gas Handling I & II developments on the
North Slope.

Future developments within the National Petroleum

Reserve - Alaska will be significantly smaller than the Prudhoe

Bay and Kuparuk complexes. Therefore, reaction by caribou also

will be significantly less. Proposed traffic and aircraft

restrictions should be modified to allow more than four vehicle

convoys and one daily aircraft trip into the caribou area.

Alternative E proposed stipulations would prohibit surface facilities within a four-mile-zone on the eastern shoreline of Teshekpuk Lane and Colville Inlet. This stipulation is overly restrictive since studies of the caribou passage in the Prudhoe Bay Unit and the Kuparuk Unit found that road pipe separation of 500 feet and five foot minimum pipe heights are fully effective in providing unimpeded caribou passage across pipelines and roads. Therefore, this stipulation is unnecessary.

COMPUTER MATRIX

It is important to find and develop new oil fields for the nation's future energy security and to sustain Alaska's economy as current North Slope oil production declines. It will be a number of years even if we keep moving along and approve exploration and development in that area before these projects can be brought online.

AOGA supports Alternative E which allows full leasing of the National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska Northeast Planning Area, and AOGA supports the National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska's lease sale occurring during 1998.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to present these comments on behalf of AOGA, on behalf of myself, and on behalf of Mapco Alaska Petroleum.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Cook. Next is Daniel Simien.

MR. SIMIEN: Good afternoon. My name is Dan Simien.

I'm President and Business Representative for Laborers' Local

942 here in Fairbanks. I'm speaking on behalf of the 1,000

plus members of Local 942.

We support Alternative E in which all lands in the NPR are made available for oil and gas leasing for a number of reasons. Our members have worked in construction on the North Slope infrastructure and on the Trans-Alaska Pipeline. Employment has given us the ability to live and enjoy the great opportunities this state has to offer its citizens. We are contributors to all the non-profit organizations dedicated in

COMPUTER MATRIX

promoting better living conditions for the citizens of this state. The members of our union spend their hard-earned dollars supporting local businesses. We send our children to the university, we purchase homes in this community, support hospitals and medical communities with our health plans.

During the winter months, the only opportunity for work, through our hard haul, is winter pipeline projects and related -- and other related projects. Our members makes up -- make up approximately 30 percent of the pipeline construction work force. There are three other unions that represent the remaining 70 percent, all of whom make significant contributions to the Alaska economy. If the decision is made to lock up or limit exploration in a development in the NPR economic impact for these citizens of Alaska would be devastating.

Alternative E is the only land management option in the Draft environmental Impact Statement that is consistent with the standard Congress outlined for the Petroleum Reserve. In a report by the State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources of April 1997, it states that 23.5 million acres, NPR-A encompassed tremendous geologic diversity and is under-explored by modern industry standards. Yet acreage within the NPR-A has not been offered for competitive leasing since July of 1994. The application of modern exploration techniques, a better understanding of Northern Alaska geology, seismic sequence

COMPUTER MATRIX

analysis and 3-D seismic surveys, and other advancements should help identify new prospects and reduce the drilling risk.

We oppose the creation of new conservation units in expansion of the two existing Special Areas. Alaska contains more than 57 million acres of federal wilderness and the NPR was specifically set aside for oil and gas development. New advancements in oil technology such as extended reach drilling, small areas for drill sites and production pads, work force training in the field of environmental awareness. Currently 16 hours of orientation is required for any worker to be sent to the North Slope simply just to ensure that every precaution is taken to protect wildlife and their habitat. We as productive citizens of Alaska encourage responsible development of our natural resources. And in closing, we urge the BLM to move forward with the lease sale in 1998.

Thank you.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Simien. Next I have Jim Plaquet.

MR. PLAQUET: Could I get all my brothers and sisters of organized labor to stand, pipe fitters, laborers, operators?

My name is Jim Plaquet. I'm a Business Agent for the Operating Engineers Local 302. I reside at 1444 Second Avenue. Like I said, my name is Jim Plaquet, and I'm representing all these Fairbanks men and women who are standing up.

The petroleum industry is an invaluable component of

COMPUTER MATRIX

Alaska's and Fairbanks' economy. With Prudhoe Bay oil reserves in decline, it is essential that all new areas be opened for oil exploration and development.

The oil industry in Prudhoe Bay has a proven record of environmental excellence that can't be compared to anywhere in the world. I encourage BLM to move forward with the lease sale in the National Petroleum Reserve in 1998.

We, the Fairbanks workers, want to go on record in support of Alternative E, all lands available for oil and gas leasing. We oppose the creation of new special areas or expanding existing special areas. The oil and gas industry has made tremendous technological strides. Advancements such as directional and extended-reach drilling and seismic exploration make environmentally safe development possible in virtually an location.

If oil exploration and development in Alaska is stifled, we ultimately stifle the Alaska economy. Countless people, both directly and indirectly working for the oil industry will suffer financial demise. We Fairbanks workers have house payments and other bills to pay and the loss of these jobs would have a devastating negative impact to us and our community. Oil industry jobs have provided the needed employment for Fairbanks workers to support their families. The tax base provided by Fairbanks workers has allowed area residents through tax dollars to sustain local businesses,

COMPUTER MATRIX

schools, hospitals, and other essential community services.

Once again, I encourage BLM to move forward with the lease sale in the National Petroleum Reserve in 1998 with area wide leases. Opening the NPR is good for the country and for all of us that live in Alaska.

Thank you.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Plaquet and members. Mike Joyce from ARCO-Alaska.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. My name is Mike Joyce. I am the Senior Consultant for Biological Sciences at ARCO-Alaska stationed in Anchorage. I am pleased to have this opportunity to provide ARCO's perspective on the Draft Integrated Activity Plan. This afternoon I'd would like to make four points for your consideration.

First, this is a National Petroleum Reserve. It was established for a single designated purpose. The Department of Interior -- this is our second point, the Department of Interior through their proposed stipulation that they presented in the DEIS have told us what to do to protect the environment anywhere within the planning area. We believe we can follow those stipulations.

Point three, we have proven that we can find, develop, and produce oil in a way that protects the environment on the North Slope. A 25 year record of that success.

And finally, our fourth point is we believe strongly

COMPUTER MATRIX

that now is the time to proceed with leasing because our nation needs to strengthen our energy security.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The National Petroleum Reserve was established 70 years ago. Since then, almost 20,000 miles of seismic data has been acquired within the Reserve. In the early 1980s, there were a series of lease sales leading to the drilling of only one well by private industry. To date, there have been no commercial However, previous exploration confirms the area's discoveries. Since the last Petroleum Reserve lease hydrocarbon potential. sale, much has changed in the Alaska oil and gas industry. While ARCO's assessment of the Petroleum Reserve's resource potential is confidential to our company, I can tell you that lower development and operating costs and improvements in exploration and production technology once again make this an area of interest. ARCO believes that by using appropriate new operational technology combined with appropriate environmental stipulations, that oil and gas can be extracted from any part of the planning area without harm to local fish and wildlife populations and without interruption to the traditional land uses of the area. Technical developments over the past 25 years have eliminated any significant impacts on the tundra environment from oil and gas operations.

Government wildlife agencies and the oil industry have conducted a series of joint agency/industry long-term monitoring studies on the environment in Prudhoe Bay and

COMPUTER MATRIX

Kuparuk. These studies have generally -- have generated extensive and detailed information on the effects of oil and gas production on the behavior of Arctic fish and wildlife. The knowledge gained from these studies has enabled the federal, state, and local governments to anticipate the effect of oil and gas development may have on fish and wildlife resources. And, more importantly, to therefore design and prescribe specific operational requirements and restrictions to eliminate any detrimental impact. Alternative E, which would authorize leasing throughout the planning area contains more than 80 operational stipulations.

To address concerns about the possible disturbance of molting geese in the area northeast of Teshekpuk Lake, for example, Alternative E would prohibit surface operations within non-entry buffer zones of 3,280 feet around high-use goose lakes and 1,640 feet around low-use lakes; also prohibit construction activity between June 18 and August 20 and prohibit helicopter traffic between June 15 and August 20.

Three specific studies conducted by ARCO in cooperation with the Fish and Wildlife Service and the Environmental Protection Agency have monitored pre and post development impacts to swans, geese, and loons on the North Slope. These studies have demonstrated that the proposed DEI stipulations will be effective in eliminating detrimental impact on molting geese. The DEIS stips (sic) give more than adequate

COMPUTER MATRIX

protection, protection with a wide margin of safety to geese and other important species. Observance of the operational limitations and prohibitions contained in these stipulations will allow oil and gas development throughout the planning area without harm to fish and wildlife resources.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Alternative E also ensures no interruption of traditional uses of the land. Access to traditional hunting and fishing areas have been guaranteed and will be enforced by a joint subsistence oversight panel of federal, state, local, and industry officials. We also would support additional lease sale stipulations quaranteeing continued use of cabins and other improvements built by people making traditional use of lands within the Petroleum Reserve.

The deletion of portions of the planning area from the land available for leasing, as proposed in Alternatives B, C, and D, is not necessary to protect fish and wildlife or to preserve these traditional uses of the land. It will, however, sharply diminish likelihood of significant oil and gas production and the substantial economic benefits to be derived from that production. The oil and gas industry's extensive exploration activities over the last 10 years in the area between the Colville and the Canning Rivers has shown that on the North Slope hydrocarbon deposits generally occur within 20 miles of the -- to the south of the Barrow Arch. Within this 25 | 20 mile strip of coastal plain, the industry has explored 30

COMPUTER MATRIX

separate prospects and recorded 20 different discoveries. All the producing fields in the North Slope are located within 20 miles of this -- of the coast.

. 20

By contrast, beyond this 20 mile strip, the industry has drilled 42 separate prospects. Of these 42, only six gas discoveries and one oil discovery were identified, none of which were significant enough to warrant development.

We have brought a map with us. And on this map, we -this map shows a solid black line which represents 20 miles
from the coast within the planning area. The map also outlines
areas proposed to be deleted from leasing by Alternatives D.
As you can see, Alternative D would allow access to only about
one half of the land in which the industry has the most
realistic chance of finding commercial quantities of oil and
gas.

On this map, and finally on the map, the areas in green designate locations where surface facilities and surface impacts would be prohibited under Alternative E. As you can see, the protections created by the proposed stipulations are substantial and far-reaching.

Oil is one of the mainstays of our nation's energy budget, accounting for 40 percent of the U.S. energy supply and 97 percent of the U.S. transportation fuel. Through U.S. oil consumption -- although U.S. oil consumption, now nearing the record levels of the late 1970s, our domestic oil supply is

COMPUTER MATRIX

shrinking, and U.S. production is at its lowest level in more than 40 years. According the Department of Energy, domestic oil reserves have declined 30 percent since Prudhoe Bay began production, and domestic production has fallen 25 percent since 1986. Our nation is becoming more and more dependent on foreign oil. If we are to continue to produce significant portions of the oil we consume, then exploration must occur today and occur in the most prospective parts of places like the National Petroleum Reserve.

Finally, delineating, designating, permitting, and building new oil fields in frontier areas of Alaska can take a decade. Therefore, to conclude, ARCO believes that BLM should make available for leasing all land within the high potential 20 mile strip. The proposed stipulations ensure protection of wildlife and traditional land use through operational requirements and restrictions. This is a Petroleum Reserve and it was an area designated for petroleum development in 1923. The industry has a proven record of environmentally responsible development in the Arctic, and we believe now is the time to proceed with this lease sale.

Thank you.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Joyce. Next I have Linda Benson.

MS. BENSON: My name is Linda Benson. I'm here as a private citizen. I'm not going to pretend that I understand

COMPUTER MATRIX

all of the complex issues that need to be considered before oil exploration is continued or expanded. My question -- I have a fundamental question, though, that I hope that would be considered and answered before any decision is made.

The gentleman before me just stated that we have domestic oil supply shrinking and we need to strengthen our energy security. So my question is why are we exporting millions of gallons of oil to Asia, presently, if we are having a crisis, and with no restrictions on the exportation and no plan to write any restrictions for exporting oil? I think that needs to be answered before any decision can be made. that it would be prudent for this generation to allow the next generation to decide if there is an energy emergency. clearly is not one now.

Thank you.

Thank you, Ms. Benson. Next is James Young.

MR. YOUNG: Good afternoon. My name is James Young.

I'm representing Great Northwest, Incorporated here in

19 Fairbanks. And I'll be very brief with this. Great Northwest

supports Alternative E, which allows full leasing of the 20

potential areas. 21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

As a local general contractor in this area, we employ nearly 100 union employees per year. These jobs normally range between six and eight months because of our Alaska climate. Ву 25 opening the leasing areas, it would maintain our employment

COMPUTER MATRIX

throughout the year, which is very critical, we feel. These people then would be able to help our economy, help our city, our state. The economic benefits I think we all understand what will happen.

We -- the bottom line is that we encourage BLM to move forward with the lease sale. And thank you for your time.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Young. Next is Richard Chapell.

MR. CHAPELL: Hi. My name's Richard Chapell and I'm speaking here today as an Alaska citizen who values wildlife resources. If oil exploration is allowed to take place, the Bureau of Land Management needs to protect certain areas that are intensively used by fish and wildlife such as the Teshekpuk Lake area and the Colville River corridor.

The Special Protection Area suggested by the BLM for protecting caribou, waterfowl and fishery resources look like a good start, but the protection plans seem vague and temporary. Before the development of the NPR-A proceeds, we need to establish permanent wilderness status for the Teshekpuk Lake and Colville River corridor areas. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service should have management control of these protected wildlife areas to ensure that these wildlife resources aren't eliminated or harmed by oil exploration.

Thank you.

.22

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Chapell. Next is Dave DuHart.

COMPUTER MATRIX

Is Dave DuHart here? Okay. I'll put that in the back and call on him later. Howard Thies.

MR. THIES: Thank you. My name is Howard Thies. I was born and raised in Fairbanks, Alaska. I live at 416 Slater Drive. I'm the Vice President of Sourdough Express and I'm on the Board of Directors of the Alliance Support Industry from Anchorage in Alaska.

We feel as the Support Industry Alliance that we are as a non-profit corporation -- basically, we worked hard in the last two years to develop and provide services to the oil and gas industry. We are oil field companies that supply and support transportation enterprises, wholesale and retail businesses, professional firms and private citizens.

Collectively we employ more than over 25,000 people in Alaska, 23,000 of which are permanent residents of Alaska. Since our formation in 1979, we've advocated environmentally safe and responsible development of oil and gas resources for the benefit of all Alaskans.

While our members directly rely on oil and gas activity, the truth is every Alaskan, every business, and every resident in Alaska depends primarily on the importance of the petroleum industry in our state. It is naive to believe that the zero development will maintain a viable economy until we live in a global economy that no longer requires people to survive or a worker must have a job.

COMPUTER MATRIX

It's important that we believe that we can be responsible in development to meet energy and economic needs in protection of the natural environment. We are -- we have proven it worldwide. In Alaska today our oil and gas explorers now reach a way larger small (sic) footprint than they have in the land in the past. Oil field production has increased phenomenally due to the industry's technological advances.

Still we know that the North Slope reserves will one day run dry. And without this boost economy such as the National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska presents will be a promising oil field for the state of Alaska and its residents. In fact, if we set aside for the very purpose to explore oil and gas -- oil and gas exploration, it's not anew to NPR-A.

There have been three rounds of exploration since the 1940s. Even though the commercial reserves were not discovered, prior surveys in drilling do produce the data that indicates that all geological requirements for a commercial oil field are present. According to BLM, NPR represents a major portion of one of the most prolific oil and gas producing geological systems in North America. Dormant since 1984, NPR-A has been explored with the aid of modern seismic and drilling techniques.

With this intent in mind, the Alliance, and myself, strongly support Alternate E and the Draft Environmental Impact Statement to the NPR-A Northeast Corner. Making all BLM lands

COMPUTER MATRIX

in this area available for oil and gas leasing will make NPR-A much more attractive location for exploration by adding some measures of certainty to oil and gas exploration investors. Because of the geological conditions, we make exploration relatively expensive, four times more costly than any place in the Lower 48. Alaska competes directly with international locations. And to be competitive in this global market, Alaska depends on a resource management policy that is responsive to business.

The Alliance also encourages planners to eliminate those elements in Alternate E which recommend creation of new Special Areas off limits to leasing. This tactic also ignores the tremendous technology strides made by the oil and gas industry in example of today's achievements in the petroleum acknowledged in the Alpine field discovered in 1994 on the eastern border of the NPR-A.

Alpine was discovered in an area that had been heavily explored in the past, proving the advanced seismic acquisition proposition techniques were much more precise. Advancements also make exploration much more extrusive at Alpine, as other industries developed in the North Slope set new standards for Arctic exploration and development. Long-reaching drilling, smaller and fewer pads, elimination of reserve pits, use of ice roads and pads, the underground injection of cutting fluids have greatly reduced the industry's impact.

COMPUTER MATRIX

The Alliance encourages BLM to ensure mitigation and measures in special expectations to -- that are reasonable to support by scientific studies. Erroneous and unnecessary restrictions can make an otherwise leasable area impossible to develop. We further encourage the BLM to move forward with this lease sale in 1998. As Prudhoe Bay reserves dwindle, Alaska's entire economy will ultimately rely on new discoveries in the very near future.

Thank you.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Thies. Next is Richard Gaul.

MR. GAUL: Good Afternoon. My name is Richard Gaul. I live in Fairbanks, 849 Bohnet Drive; it's actually outside of town. I'm here to testify that I support Alternative E; gain as much knowledge as possible, explore up there. There's a low of unknowns. And I think, well, in the construction industry you want to know as much as possible. And I don't know much really about the oil industry, but I assume it's even more so.

Eighteen, 20-some years ago, I came up here and I worked on the caribou crossing for the pipeline back when they figured the caribou wouldn't cross under the pipeline. Well, we've since learned a lot about that. They tend to cross.

We know a lot more, the environmentalists, the construction people. The oil companies, we know a lot more than we did 20-some years ago. So I say go for it.

Thank you.

COMPUTER MATRIX

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Gaul. Next is Steve.....

MR. FORTELNY: Can she do it?

MS. FOX: Fortelny?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FORTELNY: Yeah.

MS. FOX: All right.

MR. FORTELNY: Thank you. As I was walking up here, I saw Curtis Thomas in the parking lot. He told me to give them Well, I told him, well, I wasn't quite sure what side of the fence I was on. I consider myself an environmentalist, but I also work construction. I think any good American or Alaskan is an environmentalist, really. You know, I've got conflicting feelings on this. You know, it's a beautiful place up there. But, you know, do I have all the facts? That's why I'm here. And, you know, I don't know if I'll ever have all the facts, just like the greenhouse effect, you know, the experts say there is one, and the experts say there isn't one. So whether we need to develop or not, leave it to the experts. You know, I kind of deal with my feelings and the facts. And so, you know, I'm trying to learn about this, the situation. I'm no fool, you know, I work construction. And this is where my paycheck comes from. I know it stimulates the economy. Oil stimulates the economy. That's just the way it is. runs on oil.

I've worked about six to eight seasons on the North
Slope and I have first-hand experience of the standards of --

COMPUTER MATRIX

that are held up there. As far as if there's a rig that is leaking anti-freeze, there's someone with a shovel right there, right now, digging it up, putting it in the garbage bag. The trash is picked up right now. I mean the standards are much higher than the standards for the local oil for -- oil production in our own backyard. I have first-hand experience on that, too.

I have been to Siberia in the wintertime. And I -they have an oil line there, too. It's laid on skids. And
it's leaking. It leaks a lot. It leaks like square miles of
oil, just out there. I've seen the North Slope. I mean there
are people, experts again, they're out there. I mean things
don't happen like that in Alaska. I think development can be
done up there in a good way. I mean, whether we need the oil
or not, that's -- I mean I could use the job, but whether we
need the oil or not, I really don't know.

I -- that oil field will develop -- be developed, it's just a matter of when. I would like to see the State -- to go on record, to see the State put a percentage of its money aside to -- for research to develop an alternative energy source. You know, we've heard this term so much and so long, and it's going to be someone else. Or maybe it's -- you know, maybe you already have one and it's not being released because we have use the oil up first or for whatever reason. Well, we have a wonderful resource up there, and with all the persuasions and

COMPUTER MATRIX

divisions of thought and the gut feelings that swirl around on this issue, there's one thing that I do know, and that is that in about three generations, there isn't going to be oil. And we're going to have to be doing something else. We're not going to go back to the covered wagon. We're going to be doing something else. And I think it's not too soon to start doing that something else, you know, right about now. You know, we had the -- I remember the '79 oil embargo. I remember the big oil lines. And then I remember the cars that came out with the great gas mileage. And then I see now, 1998, and these huge four wheel drives, what are these called, these utility vehicles that are just bombarding on -- it's like what oil crisis? You know, I'd like to see gasoline at \$5 dollars a gallon; that's what it is in Europe and London.

I just want to close with one thing, and that is, as long as this country is addicted to crude oil, we will go anywhere and do anything to supply the fix.

Thank you.

2.2

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Fortelny. All right. Steve Springer.

MR. SPRINGER: Hi. Thank you. I'm here to represent Arctic Audubon Society here in Fairbanks. And in the absence of a national energy policy and without a clear and compelling rationale for why leasing must occur now, Alternative A is the logical alternative. However, in a decision -- if a decision

COMPUTER MATRIX

is made to lease portions of the planning area, we strongly urge you to modify Alternative B to better reflect my conservation concerns for this remarkable area.

Alternative B prohibits leasing in the most critical wildlife and fish habitats. Modification is required, however, to provide permanent protection for the Teshekpuk Lake and the Colville River Special Areas. To adequately protect these critical wildlife habitats, it is essential that you seek permanent protection through legislative designation from future leasing and development. This protection must include a prohibition of roads and pipelines across the Special Areas.

In recognition of their high wildlife values, the

Secretary of the Interior in 1977 designated Teshekpuk Lake and
upper Colville River as Special Areas within the National

Petroleum Reserve - Alaska. This area along the North Slope of
Arctic Alaska encompasses valuable fish and wildlife habitat
and outstanding wilderness recreation opportunities. The

Teshekpuk Lake Special Area provides critical habitat for
calving caribou and nesting, staging, and molting ducks, geese,
and swans. The bluffs of the Colville River are important
nesting areas for the Arctic peregrine falcon and other birds
of prey. The Colville River also provides important habitat
for moose, brown bears, wolves, wolverines and nesting
songbirds.

Oil and gas development in these special areas and

COMPUTER MATRIX

other habitats designated for no leasing under Alternative B would jeopardize wildlife and fish populations in these areas.

1.0

In summary, we would recommend the following actions regarding the NPR-A Draft Environmental Impact Statement; no leasing in the areas defined in Alternative B, prohibit roads and pipelines in the no lease areas defined in Alternative B; seek permanent protection through legislative action for the Teshekpuk Lake Special Area and the Colville River Special Area; and we need to conduct a new analysis to more adequately address the cumulative effects of leasing on fish and wildlife populations and habitats throughout the planning area and all of NPR-A.

Thank you for considering our request for responsible conservation alternative for the planning area with the National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska, and for allowing me the time to speak on behalf of the members of Arctic Audubon.

Thanks.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Springer. Next is Roger Burggraf.

MR. BURGGRAF: I'm Roger Burggraf, live at 830 Sheep Creek Road, Fairbanks, Alaska, representing myself. I am in support of Alternative E, which is to continue current management activities and make all of the planning area available for oil and gas lease sales. I feel that development of this area can be done in a environmentally sound and

COMPUTER MATRIX

responsible manner, and that there are adequate, you know, safeguards to ensure that this is done.

Basically, that's my comments.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Burggraf. Next is Fred Heflinger.

MR. HEFLINGER: Yes, Thank you. I'm Fred Heflinger, and I'm from Fairbanks. And I'm here, I'm in support of Alternative E. There's a lot of people that don't want roads or anything built up there. I hope they build a road right up through the center of it so I can drive up there and look at it.

As far as harming the wildlife and stuff, just like Fairbanks here, if we all left tomorrow, the wildlife would walk around here like we'd never been here. And I don't know what's wrong with these people that think we're hurting too much.

There's a lot of union members here, and I hope you -I'm not a union member, but I hope you all speak because most
of you want to hide behind your leadership and walk away; and
you ought to talk. Because everybody better say that they want
a livelihood. And I want that oil. I want the revenues for
the State of Alaska so I don't have to pay so darn many taxes,
and I can get the benefits that they give me because I do get
some benefits. And I'm all for development. And Alternative E
is the only way to go.

COMPUTER MATRIX

As a matter of fact, they're talking about these helicopters, they don't want the oil companies flying them certain months of the year. Well, let's not let BLM fly them either. Let's not let any of these wilderness lovers like -- that want to go up there and study caribou fly around with helicopters either. Let's have the same rules for all. Let's not have any of these jerks like Marlin Perkins up there with a helicopter running around making a movie scaring the hell out of the animals, too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

So I think that maybe we ought to -- if we're going to look at this, if the oil companies have to play by certain rules, let's let all the federal agencies and everybody play by the same ones, and keep those helicopters off of there if they want it that way. And I don't want to deny anybody who's got any rights there already, any rights. They should be able to do whatever they been doing in the past. But, you know, this stuff about pipelines polluting, that's a bunch of garbage. That's -- I haven't seen much pollution from that pipeline at And let's see -- yeah, I don't want the area closed. these people want to run up there with dog sleds and stuff and -- or run around the world with dog sleds. Dog -- I got to listen to dogs bark all the time. I don't like listening to sled dogs. And I don't like them dropping their feces in my yard and stuff, so there's another way to look at these dogs, too. And so any development up there is going to be transient

COMPUTER MATRIX

within 100 years it'll probably be gone. And the animals up there won't even notice that we've been there. So let's develop it.

And thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Heflinger. Call Dave DuHart again.

MR. DuHART: Dave DuHart, private citizen. And I just got done working on Alpine and Badami and Warthog, and I thought I'd share some information on what I learned up there.

I'm an environmentalist, but I get my money from the oil companies. Some people might consider that hypocritical. And 10 years ago, I would've too, because ARCO and BP had no environmental program at all. They had reserve pits, and dumped the mud right over the side out on the Cook Inlet, but lot has changed. I mean not just that we recycle glass and paper and batteries and light bulbs and everything else, but solid waste disposal is taking care of the reserve pit. that was a great, great environmental malfunction that's been -- we re-inject our oil solids which helps bring the oil to the surface, and you use less surface of the pad along with directional drilling, like someone else has mentioned. But I don't think that they've mentioned side-track drilling where you could put a small pad and drill out four miles, 360 It's going to make for less pads and less environmental impact up there.

COMPUTER MATRIX

First before I could even go to Alpine, I had to go to school here and get these environmental cards. Here's a little eight hour TAPS class, where they tell you how to act. here's a 40-hour hazardous waste card that shows that if you wreck the environment or do anything out of line, aloha, you're fired. Here's an eight-hour BP card. It's more of the same, really. Here's a 40-hour hazardous card, which allows me to respond in case there's a spill. And blah, blah, blah. can't even get a job now unless you're environmentally aware. In other words, if you walk on the tundra, you get a warning. even though the caribou do it all the time. If you walk on the tundra one time, you get a warning. You do it again, you're If you feed a fox or leave your garbage out, you're If you drive a truck there, they give you a little liner that you put in the back of your truck. It's a 3 x 3 visqueen liner. And if you park your truck and you don't put your liner under the engine to catch the dripping oil, you're That's it. They're just not going to put up with it When you fill your tank up, if you don't have your liner under the nozzle, and oil drips onto the ground and you don't report it, you're fired. I wonder how many containments are under the cars out here in the parking lot today. assume that more oil is going to be dripped in this parking lot than the whole Sadlerochit Field up north.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anyway, I know you guys can't make a final decision on

COMPUTER MATRIX

It's a public forum and it doesn't mean anything. let's just say that 20 percent of the nation's oil is produced in Prudhoe Bay, okay? And the Sadlerochit Field and the Kuparuk Field are diminishing. Now, Badami, Alpine, the Lisburne and the Endicott, if you study the record of their environmental impact, I think you'll find it's really, really excellent. The last 10 years they've become so much more environmentally aware. And we want to get away from thinking that these oil field fat cats are just cigar smoking carpet-bagging interlopers. They're not. They're geologists and they're laborers and they're operators and they're technicians. And they care about this land because we all live in it. And we no more think of trashing it than we would of changing our oil in the driveway and letting it seep into the ground.

So I support Alternative E. I think we've proven we can get the oil out of the ground with very minimal environmental impact, and we're going to have to meet the energy in the 21st century. If we don't produce it now, when are we going to produce it?

Thanks for your time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. DuHart. Okay, I have one more person to call. So if anyone else is thinking of providing some testimony this afternoon, I'd like you to go over to the table by the door and fill out a form. Thanks.

COMPUTER MATRIX

The last person I have is Dave Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good afternoon. My name is Dave Miller. I live in Fairbanks now. I've just moved back from Barrow. I worked on the first pipeline. And after that was done, I moved to Barrow in 1980. I been living there for the last 17 years. And for the last 17 years I've seen -- I've stood in herds of thousands of caribou. And I've seen ducks and geese in the spring and the fall from horizon to horizon. And I've seen the Eskimos up there get a full harvest of seals, walruses, ducks, caribou. And in the 17 years I've been there, I've never seen any change in the migratory patterns for any negative harvest.

I support Alternative E. I'm currently working out of 302, and this is a personal statement. But anyway, like I said, I've seen no change in the caribou herds or the harvest of the walrus or whales or anything else. And I'm all for opening up the oil fields. I have a family to support, and if you don't open them up, it will be a very negative impact on the state's economy.

Thank you.

2İ

25 |

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Miller. We have one more? Okay. C.W. Mahlen.

MR. MAHLEN: How do you do? Thank you very much. I'm very interested in the testimony today, and I view it as very good. There's one thing I'd like to summarize on a little bit. I think there were a couple misconceptions in some of the

COMPUTER MATRIX

statements here. We're talking about spilling oil on the tundra in Siberia and Russia and other countries, burning rain forests by other countries, our developing the oil that we use. And I really don't think that we should depend on companies, economies that do that type of exploration and development to provide our oil. And it was a misconception I think by Linda Benson saying something that we had a surplus. Well, we import 80 percent of our oil from countries that aren't as environmentally astute as Alaska. And so I don't think that's the proper thing to do. I think we should develop oil in our reserves, in our strength, in our country where we can control it. And I think that this is -- we cannot necessarily have a surplus of oil when we're importing all the oil from these countries in the Middle East.

Thank you.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Mahlen. Is there anyone else who wishes to speak? Seeing no one, I'd like to thank the audience for giving all the speakers their attention. It really helps us to hear what they had to say. And this concludes the formal hearing on the NPR-A EIS and the ANILCA Section 810 Findings. I'd like to thank you all for coming and encourage you to supplement your oral comments by submitting written comments to the addresses on the information sheets that have been made available. Thank you.

*****(END OF AFTERNOON HEARING)*****

COMPUTER MATRIX

EVENING HEARING

(On record - 7:00 p.m.)

MS. FOX: I would like to call this hearing to order. It's now 7:00 o'clock, the appointed time to start the hearing and I would like to start on time and try to keep things going tonight. If you desire to speak and you have not yet signed up to speak, please do so now by completing one of these request forms at the front table. My name is Peggy Fox and I'll be conducting this hearing. Here with me at my right is Dee Ritchie, the District Manager for BLM for the Northern District. And to my far right is Shirley Cohen who's a court reporter who will record all the comments tonight.

The purpose of the hearing is to formally receive comments on the Bureau of Land Management's Draft Integrated Activity Plan/Environmental Impact Statement for the Northeast Portion of the National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska and the ANILCA Section 810, (Subsistence) Evaluation and Finding. This hearing is being held pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA, and the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, or ANILCA. It satisfies NEPA's requirement that BLM take public comments on major federal actions and ANILCA's requirement that we conduct hearings on possible actions which may impact subsistence resources or activities.

Your comments tonight will serve several purposes.

Your comments on the ANILCA Section 810 subsistence evaluation

COMPUTER MATRIX

can tell us whether we have correctly identified and assessed the effects of the various alternatives in the Draft EIS on subsistence uses and needs. Your comments can tell us whether or not other lands are available for the management schemes proposed and you can suggest other alternatives which would reduce or eliminate effects on public lands needed for subsistence purposes. You can also tell us if the proposed findings in the Draft EIS are accurate and whether we have left anything out of our subsistence evaluation.

You can point out information about the resources or uses of the planning area which our draft document may have overlooked or not analyzed correctly; we want to be sure to have all the relevant information before we make a decision. Also, you can provide us a sense of what the public wants to occur on these lands. Decision-makers want to know where the public stands on the issues involved in the future management of this part of the NPR-A.

The Draft EIS was placed on the Internet on November 24th and paper copies were released to the public the first week of December. Several public information meetings were held in Alaska during December to answer questions about the document and to promote dissemination of the Draft EIS.

This hearing is one of a series being conducted in the Alaskan communities of Anaktuvuk Pass, Atqasuk, Barrow, Nuiqsut, Wainwright, Anchorage and here tonight in Fairbanks.

COMPUTER MATRIX

Hearings in Washington, D.C. and San Francisco, California are also being held to ensure a full opportunity for the public to participate.

In addition to these hearings, comments on the Draft EIS have been accepted by the Bureau since December 12th, 1997, the start of the official comment period and will continue to be accepted if postmarked by March 12th, 1998. And I would like you to note that that is an extended comment period. Comments may be provided orally at these hearings, or in writing via the Internet, by facsimile, or by regular mail. Informational materials with phone numbers, addresses, including the Internet address, as well as blank forms for comments are provided on the table at the back of the room.

All comments provided will be compiled, analyzed, and considered by the Bureau in preparing the Final Environmental Impact Statement, due to be released in late June 1998.

The way we will proceed is I will call the names of those who have indicated they wish to make oral comments to come up to the microphone. You will then state your name, state which organization you represent, if any, and give your comments. If you have written comments, I will ask you to provide them to the person at the table in the back of the room.

At this time I have approximately 10 request forms completed. Based on that and our time limits, I'll ask each

COMPUTER MATRIX

speaker to try to confine him or herself to approximately five minutes. If you reach the time limit, I'll ask you for summary comments and then request you to step down to let others speak. When we complete the list of people wishing to speak, and as time allows I may offer others the opportunity to speak.

I and the BLM personnel here tonight are here to listen. During the hearing, we will not be taking questions. Following the hearing, if you do have further questions, I'm sure there will be individuals available to answer them. Lastly, I would request that the audience be considerate of the speaker and give him or her the courtesy of your attention.

We will begin now with the first person who requested to speak, and that's Mr. Joe Thomas.

MR. THOMAS: Good evening. My name is Joe Thomas and

I'm the Business Manager Secretary/Treasurer of Laborers' Local

942 based here in Fairbanks.

First of all, I'd like to thank you for holding these hearings and I'd like to just make a few brief comments. You have or will have heard much testimony today about the economic impact of the oil industry on Alaska, and Fairbanks in particular; there is no question of that. My comments are more as to what does or does not get done and how that occurs.

The Naval Petroleum Reserve Number 4, if that's still how it's referred to, has been explored for 40 or more years.

One of the maps in my office here in Fairbanks is dated 1973

COMPUTER MATRIX

and identifies the Naval Petroleum Reserve Number 4, as that is what it is. Much work has been to identi -- has been done to identify sensitive areas in the Reserve that require specific and special attention.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Over the years I have learned that there is only -there are basically two sides to a question, particularly questions like the development of PET 4, and that is to develop or not to develop. Those that do not want it developed cannot take the extreme -- or all that oppose it cannot take the extreme side, so there is always alternatives offered. alternatives are usually unacceptable and only serve to delay the process. This delay tactic has led to the demise of many worthwhile projects. Those who would like to develop or build cannot wait forever so they simply move along over a period of In the case of the oil industry, they move to another area or country and make their investment there. This is one part of the reason that the gold industry almost came to an end in state of Alaska. Regulations being what they were, permitting processes being what they were, we saw almost the end of the industry that basically built Alaska.

Most Alaskans I believe and know -- or those that I know like the lifestyle of Alaska. They appreciate the environment, and that's why we live here. Some like myself who were born here, people believe don't know any better, and that's the only reason that we're still here.

COMPUTER MATRIX

People here need work. Without jobs and jobs in industries such as Alaska has a real reliance on mineral development, there would be no need for the infrastructure to support them. There would be no need for those other services. We all can't just serve each other pizzas and take in each other's laundry.

I believe the planning area should be made available to leasing, and with reasonable requirements that allow for caribou migration, calving, as well as provision for waterfowl and other animals that live and are inhabitats of the area. The Prudhoe Bay development can readily provide the baseline for development in the planning area. We certainly have learned from our mistakes and Congress has authorized the Department of Interior to ensure that development will be done in an environmentally responsible manner. As I said, with the language that exists and the authorization and the oversight mandated by Congress for the Department of the Interior it is not a question of how to do it.

There still seems to be a question to some as to how can we stop the development, regardless of the safeguards. We should be past that point by now. We should be moving forward in a responsible manner and develop the planning area -- whoops, develop the planning area in a reasonable manner. I think the provisions can be made. I think that the -- that all things will be taken into consideration. I believe that your

COMPUTER MATRIX

report was well done. And I can't tell you how much I think that it is a reasonable development and is certainly called for and will be done, I believe, by the industry as I view them in a fashion that will represent the needs of everybody who's involved.

Thank you.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Thomas. Next I have Jon Miller.

MR. J. MILLER: Did you want our address also?

MS. FOX: Yes. That'll be fine.

MR. J. MILLER: Was that part of.....

MS. FOX: Thank you.

MR. J. MILLER: My name is Jon Miller. I live here in Fairbanks, 2630 Home Run. Thank you for this opportunity to comment on this very important document tonight. The summary of the Draft EIS begin with the words, "Dear reader, welcome and thank you for your interest in the future of Arctic Alaska." These are indeed apt words because what happens to the NPR-A will indeed effect a major portion of the North Slope of Alaska.

I'd like to make three points about the

Petroleum Reserve and the Draft EIS. The first is that the

Petroleum Reserve is a magnificent wilderness area. And

together with the Noatak and gates of the Arctic conservation

areas to the south, it's the largest expanse in de facto

COMPUTER MATRIX

wilderness that we have in the state and in the country.

.5

Two companions and I spent six weeks crossing the NPR-A from Kasegaluk Lagoon in the west to Prudhoe Bay in the east. We did that by lining our boats up the Utukok and then taking advantage of that elevation by floating down the Colville. It was -- we spent 40 days without seeing another human being. We didn't even see a small plane fly overhead. It was fantastic. We spent that time in the company of wolves, grizzlies, caribou, musk-oxen, down near the Beaufort Sea. And when we reached the Colville River delta, there was no mistake that we'd come to the end of that wilderness journey. The first night that we were on the delta, we could see flares from -- 40 gas flares to the east in the Kuparuk and the Oliktok Fields. This country is not country where you can hide the artifacts of humans very well. You can see a long, long ways.

Make no mistake, the NPR-A is a national treasure and the merits of costs of opening these public lands for oil development by private corporation should be very carefully weighed by the public. The rushed schedule, scarcity of public hearings around the country and general shortage of publicity about the NPR-A all preclude the public making an informed decision, I feel.

I would urge that BLM choose Alternative A, no oil and gas lease sales at this time in order to protect public interest from overly hasty review process. And I do emphasize

COMPUTER MATRIX

at this time I'm not suggesting that the entire area is suitable for wilderness designation, but I believe the process to date has been entirely inadequate for the public to make an informed decision.

My second point is that the Draft EIS does not adequately address the cumulative effect of the expanding oil production on wildlife recreation and traditional subsistence activities across the entire North Slope. It does little to explain, predict, or plan how the alternative management scenarios described herein will actually affect Arctic Alaska beyond the limits of the planning area.

Finally, EIS should make a concerted attempt to pla -excuse me, a concerted attempt to place its alternative
management plans in a larger temporal and geographic content.
For example, development of the northeast portion of the
Reserve, which we're considering today, will intensify pressure
to continue expanding westward. Eventually coal and mineral
extraction in the southern and western portion of the Reserve
will become economically feasible. The fact that oil
production today will pre-dispose further development later is
not even mentioned in the Draft. This seems to me to be an
example of a general failure to accurately describe and predict
important cumulative impacts.

Third and last, the Petroleum Reserve was set aside to provide petroleum reserves during a time of national need.

COMPUTER MATRIX

Since large oil reserves are not present as originally anticipated, it cannot fulfill this purpose. It was never intended to serve the ends of corporate profit, and I would suggest that the wilderness, wildlife, recreation and subsistence values are far a greater and more lasting resource than even the large amounts of oil that originally expected to be there.

I would urge BLM to consider the long-term public good and to make a much more concerted effort to involve the public in the decision-making process prior to deciding the fate of these important public lands. And to this end, I urge you to adopt Management Option A, which leaves the greatest room for further planning. I also hope that the Final EIS will contain a much more thorough description of long-term cumulative impacts and the protective and mitigating measures that can be taken to reduce detrimental impacts on wildlife wilderness subsistence and recreation values. And if I have -- do I have....

MS. FOX: Yes, go ahead.

MR. J. MILLER: Just as a postscript, the alternatives, other than Alternative A, describe three different possible levels of protection for the Colville River as a wild and scenic river. And during the three weeks or so that we floated down the river, every day we climbed one of the wonderful bluffs that were available alongside of the river and look out

COMPUTER MATRIX

over some of the vastest expanses of undisturbed land I've ever seen anywhere. Magnificent country. The scale is staggering, even by Alaskan standards, day-after-day.

Well, we spent two days once, and probably 50 river miles we could see a very small white shack off in the distance that was some sort of -- I don't know, some sort of human structure. For two days we could see that. Well, when they talk about a half mile buffer, scenic buffer, along the wild and scenic river, that's rather absurd in my estimation. How about a 20 mile buffer instead if you really want to preserve the wild character of the river?

Thank you very much.

2.2

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Miller. Robert Fox.

MR. FOX: Hello. My name is Robert Fox of 815 Sixth Avenue, Fairbanks. I'm here to speak in behalf of leasing and oil development of the oil reserves in National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska.

I've been in Alaska since 1950, and have a deep and abiding love for the land most of us call home. I have travelled the Brooks Range, fished the Kobuk River, hunted moose and caribou while floating the 200 miles of the Sheenjack River.

I doubt that few of those speaking against NPR-A leasing have had the opportunity to visit the Prudhoe Bay facilities, but I have. And I have nothing but praise for ARCO

COMPUTER MATRIX

and Alyeska for the high standards that they have exercised in these Alaskan oil fields. They are remarkable clean and free of litter. The facilities are in excellent shape and maintained at all time. And they are well-planned to provide a minimum of disturbance to the environment. The wildlife is in excellent shape. Caribou, grizzly bears, musk-ox, moose, and many other species flourish throughout the area and even benefit from the gravel pads placed in the area of development. Fish and waterfowl enjoy clean water throughout the area and, no, it is not contaminated by oil field development.

Environmentalism is big business and finding fault and generating opposition for leasing in NPR-A is good for business. What would happen to the fountain of great donations if, God forbid, they would actually find that there is some good that comes out of oil development?

Though I'm not speaking on behalf of the Alaska Outdoor Council, I can certainly testify that a great many of our membership are in favor of such development. We find jobs in the oil industry. We are able to build and buy homes because of the economy that is stabilized by the oil industry. We are able to purchase cars, boats, and contribute to the economy of Alaska. And I suggest to you that every man, woman, and child in Alaska has benefitted greatly from oil development. We receive annual dividend checks, we have significantly improved our schools throughout the state, and we have a tremendous

savings account for future generations. Thus, it becomes quite ironic that most of those -- that those opposing development will continue to fly in aircrafts that use oil products, buy cars that use oil products, heat their homes with oil field products, and stand in front of you and criticize oil field development, regardless of how environmentally sound the plans may be. Go figure.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Fox. David van den Berg.

MR. VAN DEN BERG: Good evening. My name is
David van den Berg. I'm representing myself. I'm opposed to
leasing in the National Petroleum Reserve at this time through
this Draft Environmental Impact Statement as the vehicle. I've
been up north, travelled widely up north. I've been through
the Prudhoe oil fields. I've seen what's going on up there. I
had the good fortune to spend a lot of time both in the summer
and winter on the Colville. And when I read through this
Environmental Impact Statement, my number 1 concern is that the
range of alternatives are not doing enough to protect the known
surface resources that we know to be up there.

This EIS is a good assemblage of information, and I wish I could praise it more, but because it raises more questions than it gives me answers, I'm just going to spend my time critiquing it.

What I'd like to start with is the 18-month time line.

And this is -- this might be water under the bridge at this

COMPUTER MATRIX

point, but it's something I'd like to continue to raise because I think for the sake of something that most of us don't understand, we're on an 18-month time line.

And, Dee Ritchie, I don't want to point any fingers, but you're the only man with the moral fiber to say it like it is. And you said in Nuiqsut, the time frame, the 18-month time frame was chosen in a meeting by the Secretary of the Interior and the Governor of this state. That's a political decision. I don't think that's a good measure for the resource. I don't think it's good for the people, and I don't see that as you hear criticisms and suggestions on how to improve this process, I don't think that it should suffer for a political consideration.

I think we can do the best job we can with this Draft EIS. The reason we need to do the best job that we can is because this is going to be the sum of the work for any and all future activity in the National Petroleum Reserve. Every subsequent action relating back to this initial leasing decision is going to tier off of this document. So I think we need to be real careful and make sure it reflects all the public interests in that public domain up there.

I don't think that the scope was broad enough from the beginning. Under NEPA, you're supposed to give us a full range of alternatives, and you've given us five alternatives that range from status quo management to full-on hard core leasing.

COMPUTER MATRIX

Limiting the scope results in at least a failure to consider the whole NPR-A, and that leaves out at least two important considerations. We're not able to take up occupancy, a consideration of occupancy on the land nor mineral closures which is one of these days bound to come up. Also limiting the scope, it didn't allow -- in your cumulative case analysis, it didn't really allow for enough oil fields to be considered when you're trying to grapple with the question of what is the extent of change up on the North Slope. And so that doesn't allow you to extrapolate and to make enough conclusions about what to expect in the future. You did not include enough oil fields, and if I have time I'll read some of those into the record. And again, by limiting the scope, you've not allowed enough management tools to give real protection to the known surface resources up there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

The need for this Environmental Impact Statement as discussed in the text, it's really the opportunity for the agency to build its legs to stand on. For the IAP, for the Integrated Activity Plan, it said that "we're undertaking this to determine the appropriate multiple use in management of the area", yet there's no demonstration of a change in use patterns up in the NPR-A. It's an area that's far, far from roads. And it's only got a resident population of about 450 people. What have been the changes in use patterns that justify the engagement of an IAP?

COMPUTER MATRIX

I've asked repeated Don Mears of your agency for documentation of industry interest. There's an annual process through which an industry can submit the letters of interest in certain areas. I've not seen any. It may well exist, but I don't know. There's no legal hammer to compel the Secretary to offer these leases. So again, the question of need, why? Why are we going through this?

And finally for need, what's the national interest?
What national interest is served by leasing in the
Petroleum Reserve.

Getting back to my primary concern about no protections. There's not a conservation alternative, and I don't think tonight is going to be my best time to help you along with that, but I will submit some written comments, as will others. But in with these alternatives, I don't think that Secretary Babbitt has the appropriate tools or the full range of tools to protect the surface values up there. A place to start that you can start is with the stipulations, the 82 stipulations that apply to whatever leased acreage, and they apply to the special areas. If they're special areas, let's treat them as special. And let's not allow for roads, let's not allow for pipelines, let's not allow for material sites.

I think we need to give some real teeth to special areas. One of the biggest problems is that you've made the assumption that all development will be roadless, and you based

COMPUTER MATRIX

that on Alpine. Alpine has yet to be built. It's -- they're just putting in an ice road this year or this winter to get the material in to actually construct it this summer.

. 7

.24

Mike Joyce reminds us that the current assumption is that sales of oil pipelines from NPR-A would not have associated roads, but this would have to be decided on a case-by-case basis. Eventually, there could be a threshold number or size of fields when roads to the oil fields are a less disturbance than the alternatives. So when you make assumptions that all of these oil -- that whatever the development ensues is going to be without roads, you miss an opportunity to do the impacts analysis. And I think also if there's going to be roads there, that's clearly going to have an impact on your ability to protect the surface resources.

Also, with staging areas, from the discussion in the EIS, I can't find any other staging area than Lonely. It seems like that's going to be the place where most of the material are going to make landfall if they don't come in over ice road. And Lonely, as you know, is right in the middle of the Teshekpuk Lake Special Area. And I just think there needs to be a more rigorous assessment of really what is going to happen at Lonely or whatever staging areas there is going to be because that's going to be the place from which a lot of activity will radiate.

To help you in your efforts to protect some of the

COMPUTER MATRIX

known surface values up there, I would urge you, like you've done with subsistence and with caribou and waterfowl, to convene a scientists' symposium. And I have two names just off the top of my head of people who would probably be happy to help with that. These would be people to -- in the field of wilderness management who have ideas on what wilderness is and what it takes to be a wilderness area. One of them is Roger Kay, another one is Roger Siglin. And there are others up at UAF and elsewhere.

. 1

In coming up with protection measures in your mitigating stipulations, there's been no discussion -- or I shouldn't say no, but there's not been an adequate discussion of ways to protect the feel of the place. And this is what Jon Miller just described in his comments. And this gets back to the problem of scope. Wilderness was not considered in this document. And wilderness is a feel, it's a feeling. And when you want to -- if you really want to protect those values, we need to do that.

And one of the ways -- let me say, too, that I appreciate the agency's attempt at mitigating for those and actually trying to grapple with what, you know, how big of an area is impacted by a single oil field. I think that's a good start. They use 8,000 acres, which is approximately 12 square miles or 3 x 4 miles that a production facility would impact one's sense of solitude. Three -- 12 -- I'm sorry. Twelve

COMPUTER MATRIX

saying, you can see these things for days. I was on the upper Colville delta, and I saw black smoke -- or at least some black 3 smoke coming out of one of the pads at Kuparuk. We were 4 somewhere in hell and gone way -- away on the Colville, and we 5 could hear the seismic vehicles. We couldn't see them, but we 6 could hear them. They were somewhere off to the north. 7 again, when you're -- when -- if you're going to protect the 8 feel of the place, we need to broaden that area. How am I 9 10 doing on time? MS. FOX: Well, you're over. 11 MR. VAN DEN BERG: Okay. Well, then I can wrap up. 12 13

I'm going to submit some written comments.

MS. FOX: Great.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. VAN DEN BERG: And thank you very much for the opportunity to testify.

MS. FOX: Thank you. Next I have Roger Siglin.

MR. SIGLIN: Thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Roger Siglin. And since my comments are short, I'd like to inject a note of irrelevance in this very serious meeting. Regarding the financial crisis in Asia, I saw a recent comment, countries are like individuals; if you give them a lot of money, they will hate you. And I think that sentiment certainly applies to the average Alaskan's in view of the federal government and to a lesser extent, the oil

COMPUTER MATRIX

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
   \mathbb{K}^{-}
17
   22
18 ======
19 = ====
21 | remen
22
23 v-_-
24 | ....
25
```

And any claims of doing this for the good of
just a smoke screen.
prises and disappoints me is the support
om people who I think should know better. In
politicians who need industry's dollars to
should be concerned with leaving our children
n options for the future in a world rich in
s of all kinds. Let's let them decide which is
= ild lands or a few days more of oil and gas. I
== action alternative.
Thank you, Mr. Siglin. Next is Mike Taylor.
TOR: Good evening. I'm Mike Taylor. I live
s, and I'm representing myself.' I appreciate
that's given the public to have a say in how
are managed. And I also appreciate the
== iit here and learn the variety from the
s that I've already heard presented and I'm sure
yet that are yet to be heard.
I come down somewhere in the middle on this
ong been a supporter of the environmental
live on issues from time to time that I was
ad I worked for several years for the National
and at that time worked on the other side of

COMPUTER MATRIX
3520 Knik Avenue
Anchorage, Alaska 99517
Phone/Fax (907) 243-0668
e-mail jpk@alaska.net

this issue as we considered how Park Service land should be managed. But for the last four years I worked for an oil company in Prudhoe Bay. I've not yet been in the NPR-A. And I hope to visit it sometime because I'm aware that there are some very special wildlife and wilderness resources there that deserve the greatest care if oil development is allowed in the area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

Teshekpuk Lake and the Colville River system clearly are especially vital. As I look at the maps that summarize the alternatives, I believe perhaps Alternative B might be a reasonable and cautious first step. I'd be very hesitant to go beyond that. However, even within Alternative B, it's important to identify critical habitat areas that deserve very special attention. With the modern extended reach drilling methods that are now being used, Niakuk (ph) Field is a good example, we can now reach oil resources from two to even five miles away. So it is possible to put large areas within a leased area off limits to development -- I mean to surface development while still reaching some of the oil resources that are underneath. And it's important to identify those areas and limit the surface development where it's really critical. that may be a step that we can do to reduce impacts within the developed area, if development occurs. Also, the development companies and the North Slope Borough need to assure the most careful controls are in place to protect wildlife resources,

COMPUTER MATRIX

wildlife populations. Of course, limiting the sizes of pads is real important because that limits the amount of tundra that is impacted directly. But also controls on things like how garbage is handled are real important. At Prudhoe Bay we have a problem with wildlife getting into dumpsters, and as a result, we have higher populations of foxes and ravens and gulls that prey on nesting birds. And I think that that's something that the Borough particularly which operates the dumpster system needs to do a better job of finding ways to keep wildlife out of garbage. So little things like that can have a -- can be real important in protecting the wildlife resources in a developed area.

Now, in my view, with careful thought and controls important wildlife resources can be maintained with development -- with reasonable development of oil resources in the area.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Next is Cathy Merritt.

MS. MERRITT: My name's Cathy Merritt. I'm representing myself. I live in Fairbanks. And as you can see, I have no notes, so this will be quick. I'm not going to get into the details like so many people have already done much more eloquently, I'm sure, than I could. But I'd like to say that I support Alternative A, the no development option. And mostly I want to focus on what I see as the big picture. And that's that -- I should breathe, it helps. That is, I don't

COMPUTER MATRIX

understand why we're putting so much effort into expanding oil development when we've put very little effort as a nation into energy efficiency and alternate resources. I'd like to see perhaps even half the effort that's going toward development go towards energy efficiency and alternate resources. I feel like we as a country are just hemorrhaging and we keep calling for more blood, more oil, and we're doing nothing to stop the hemorrhage. And so I think I'd like to see America look towards energy efficiency rather than potentially destroying the last great wilderness that we have.

That's all I have to say.

2.5

MS. FOX: Thank you, Ms. Merritt. Joseph Rueter.

MR. RUETER: Hello. My name's Joseph Rueter and I'm a resident of Fairbanks here. My address is P.O. Box 83585. I' an environmental scientist in town here. I haven't had the chance to actually visit this area like some of my friends have. But a pertinent thing here is -- it may not sound pertinent to begin with, but my wife's pregnant. I've got a kid that's on the way, going to be born in May. And I'd really like to see that that child could see the same area that my friends have seen up there. I visited other areas in the Arctic, the Gates of the Arctic. I've worked up on the Slope. Specifically, I was working on the Slope removing work camps from the original -- the construction of the original pipeline. So I'm familiar with what's left over after oil development in

COMPUTER MATRIX

the Arctic. So I basically have three points here.

The Naval Petroleum Reserve was established to be a reserve for our country to be developed only in a time of pressing national need. And I feel we're not -- I personally feel we're not in such a pressing emergency right now to have to develop this area. And I don't -- I feel we're not in the middle of an emergency, considering we've got lower efficiency in our automobiles right now. It doesn't sound like an emergency to me. There's a good probability that the product that would be developed from this area may be shipped overseas. That's what we're seeing with the oil that's coming off the North Slope right now. And something else we're seeing is that our -- actually our fuel prices are going down right now. That doesn't sound like an emergency to me. It doesn't sound like a pressing need for us to go in and do this.

But I stopped by BLM the other day and picked up a copy of the Draft EIS and read it over, and I can see that there's been a lot of effort gone into looking into this area. I've been out of the country the last three years working in Guatemala. I'm a long-term Alaskan. I've been here since the '80s. So I'm walking into this kind of from a clean slate. And I just dropped by, picked up a copy of the Draft and read it over. And obviously there's a lot of work gone into this. And I don't think we should throw it away. I mean it's really -- it's been identified that there's some very, very

COMPUTER MATRIX

significant areas within the area that's being talked about 1 being developed, specifically the Teshekpuk Lake area and the 2 Colville River. And we shouldn't throw all this work out. 3 It's obviously a significant area. And I'd like to -- I feel 4 that these areas be recommended to Congress to be given 5 permanent wilderness protection. It means no development in 6 that area. And I think the management should be transferred to 7 the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, specifically the 8 Teshekpuk Lake area because of its significance to waterfowl. 9

So in conclusion, I'd like to recommend that we protect all of the area in question. In other words, I support Option A. But in addition, I'd like to make -- I'd like to say we should make addition to all the research that we've put into looking at this area and permanently protect the irreplaceable wilderness and wildlife that's been identified.

Thanks for listening.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Rueter. I have one more request, so I would like to encourage any one else who would like to speak tonight to go back to the table and fill out one of these forms and we will take you after Karen Brewster.

MS. BREWSTER: My name is Karen Brewster and I live here in Fairbanks. I don't have any written comments. I might submit some later. This is off the top of my head. I haven't even looked at the EIS until right now. I just moved to Fairbanks this fall from Barrow where I was the Oral Historian

COMPUTER MATRIX

for the North Slope Borough for the last seven years. And my concern in this area has a lot to do with the people of the North Slope and their subsistence and cultural uses of the area and I don't think those have been considered fully enough. In the little bit I've looked at the EIS, the subsistence use areas are very important to the people there. They've been using them for generations. And cultural historic sites indicated on your maps are ones that are -- have physical remains and there are many, many, many more sites that people have been using that are their camping sites, their hunting sites, and don't necessarily have archaeological or physical remains and I think those need to be taken into account.

I was glad to see the inclusion of the Ikpikpuk River corridor as a possible special use area, that and the Colville and Teshekpuk are the main areas. I'm also concerned about the caribou and waterfowl resource areas, that those get protected. And in this whole process, I stated this a year ago in Barrow, that I think there needs to be more work done with documenting the cultural and historic uses of the area. It does say in the EIS and I strongly agree with it that a lot of the area has not been looked at, has not been documented, so there are blanks on the map and that does not mean that they weren't used. It's just research hasn't been done in that area. And I encourage that that be done more. And that means talking to the elders on the North Slope.

COMPUTER MATRIX

Thank you.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Ms. Brewster. Next is Kerynn Fisher.

MS. FISHER: Thank you for giving us this opportunity to testify tonight. My name's Kerynn Fisher and although I'm staff for the Northern Alaska Environmental Center, I'm representing myself tonight. There have been a lot of issues raised tonight that I think point to, you know, a careful evaluation of drilling in the NPR-A. And people have talked about the wilderness values, of the wildlife of the Teshekpuk area and the Colville River; some from firsthand experience and others from research and a genuine concern for wilderness and wildlife. But there's two points that I'd like to raise, and ask that BLM give them special consideration.

One of them is we don't need the oil. Jose just raised that point and Cathy did also that with the oil prices dropping, we're exporting the oil to Asia. We're now encouraging fuel efficiency in our cars. What's to say that if we have this reserve and we drill in it, that we're just not going to waste the oil? What good is it going to do us if we drill there now?

The second thing is I think it's best for Alaska to hold off on it, too. We get a lot of benefit from the oil industry in terms of funding our schools and public works. And we'll do better if the price of oil is higher. We have all the

COMPUTER MATRIX

land in the Central Arctic to develop, and they are developing it. They're expecting more to come out in the next five years and for production to actually increase. So I'd recommend making the most of the areas that are already developed before we go into these untapped pristine areas.

My second point is that we haven't looked at the cumulative effects of the existing development. There is something like two million acres that have been leased in the Central Arctic, but we haven't really taken a really thorough look at what's happening to the caribou populations, global warming, the tundra, you know, warming up the permafrost, there's all sorts of things that we really need to look at before we expand into the federal lands. So those are basically my two points.

Thanks for taking public comment tonight.

MS. FOX: Thank you, Ms. Fisher. Is there anyone else who intends to speak tonight? Okay. Well, I'd like to thank the audience for being so considerate of the speakers and allowing us to focus our attention on what they had to say and say that this concludes the formal hearing on the NPR-A EIS and the ANILCA Section 810 Findings.

I'd like to thank you all for coming and encourage you to supplement the oral comments with written comments and send them to the addresses on the information sheets on the table in the rear of the room.

COMPUTER MATRIX

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

(Off record)

Thank you.

(END OF PROCEEDINGS)

COMPUTER MATRIX

CERTIFICATE

	·
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
3	STATE OF ALASKA)
4	I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the
5	State of Alaska, and Reporter for Computer Matrix, do hereby
6	certify:
7	THAT the foregoing Bureau of Land Management Hearing
8	was taken before Shirley Cohen, Notary Public and Court
9	Reporter, on the 22nd day of January, 1998, commencing at the
10	hours of 3:00 and 7:00 o'clock p.m. at the Carlson Center
11	Conference Room, 2010 Second Avenue, Fairbanks, Alaska;
12	That the hearing was transcribed by Ms. Cohen to the
13	best of her knowledge and ability:
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and
15	affixed my seal this 3rd day of February, 1998.
16	V = V = V = V
17	Joseph P. Kolasinski
18	Notary Public in and for Alaska My Commission Expires: 4/17/00
19	ing commission Expires. 4/1//00
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

25